

KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
 ROGER L. COOK (State Bar No. 55208)
 ROBERT D. TADLOCK (State Bar No. 238479)
 SARA B. GIARDINA (State Bar No. 278954)
 Two Embarcadero Center Eighth Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94111
 Telephone: (415) 576-0200
 Facsimile: (415) 576-0300
 Email: rcook@kilpatricktownsend.com
 rtadlock@kilpatricktownsend.com

ERIC M. HUTCHINS (State Bar No. 245462)
 1080 Marsh Road
 Menlo Park, CA 94025
 Telephone: (650) 326-2400
 Facsimile: (650) 326-2422
 Email: ehutchins@kilpatricktownsend.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
 SIDENSE CORP.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

SIDENSE CORP., a Canadian Corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

KILOPASS TECHNOLOGY INC., a
 California Corporation and CHARLIE
 CHENG, an individual,

Defendants.

Case No. CV11-4112-SI

**STIPULATED VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL
 OF ALL CLAIMS**

Courtroom: 10

Judge: Hon. Susan Illston

Whereas the Court has issued an Order Granting Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement (Dkt. 272) in the matter of *Kilopass Technology, Inc. v. Sidense Corp.*, No. C 10-02066 SI, thereby adjudicating the patent infringement claim in that action;

Whereas the Court's Order Granting in Part And Denying in Part Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 99) in this action has substantially reduced Sidense's potential damages recovery in this action, as Kilopass pointed out at the hearing on September 12, 2012; and




1 Whereas, because the potential damages recovery is now relatively small, compared to the
2 cost of continuing to prosecute this action, Sidense wishes to dismiss this action, with prejudice,
3 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(1)(A)(ii);

4 Now, therefore, the parties hereby stipulate to dismissal of this action WITH PREJUDICE,
5 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(1)(A)(ii). Each party shall bear its own costs and
6 attorney fees.

7
8 SO STIPULATED:

9
10 September 18, 2012

SIDENSE CORP.

11
12 By 
13 Xerxes Wania
14 Chief Executive Officer
15 Sidense Corp.

16 September _____, 2012

KILOPASS TECHNOLOGY, INC.

17
18 By _____
19 Charlie Cheng
20 Chief Executive Officer
21 Kilopass Technology, Inc.

22 September _____, 2012

CHARLIE CHENG, AN INDIVIDUAL

23
24 By _____
25 Charlie Cheng
26
27
28

1 Whereas, because the potential damages recovery is now relatively small, compared to the
2 cost of continuing to prosecute this action, Sidense wishes to dismiss this action, with prejudice,
3 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(1)(A)(ii);

4 Now, therefore, the parties hereby stipulate to dismissal of this action WITH PREJUDICE,
5 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(1)(A)(ii). Each party shall bear its own costs and
6 attorney fees.

7
8 SO STIPULATED:

9
10 September ____, 2012

SIDENSE CORP.

11
12 By _____
13 Xerxes Wania
14 Chief Executive Officer
15 Sidense Corp.

16 September 18, 2012

KILOPASS TECHNOLOGY, INC.

17
18 By _____
19 Charlie Cheng
20 Chief Executive Officer
21 Kilopass Technology, Inc.

22 September 18, 2012

CHARLIE CHENG, AN INDIVIDUAL

23
24 By _____
25 Charlie Cheng
26
27
28



SIDENSE'S VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF ALL CLAIMS
CASE NO. CV 11-4112-SI

- 2 -

1 READ AND APPROVED:

2 September 18, 2012

KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP

3
4 By:



5 _____
6 Roger L. Cook
7 Attorneys for Plaintiff
8 SIDENSE CORP.

9 September __, 2012

SNR DENTON LLP

10
11 By:

12 _____
13 Mark L Hogge
14 Rachel Repka
15 Attorneys for Defendants
16 Kilopass technology, Inc., and
17 Charlie Cheng
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



1 READ AND APPROVED:

2 September __, 2012

KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP

3
4
5 By: _____


Roger L. Cook
Attorneys for Plaintiff
SIDENSE CORP.

6
7
8
9 September __, 2012

SNR DENTON LLP

10
11 By: Mark L. Hogge

Mark L. Hogge
Rachel Repka
Attorneys for Defendants
Kilopass technology, Inc., and
Charlie Cheng

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
 SIDENSE'S VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF ALL CLAIMS
CASE NO. CV 11-4112-SI

- 3 -